

## STATEMENT OF CONSIDERATIONS

CLASS WAIVER OF THE GOVERNMENT'S U.S. AND FOREIGN PATENT RIGHTS IN INVENTIONS MADE BY PARTICIPANTS IN THE PERFORMANCE OF COOPERATIVE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO BY BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE UNDER ITS MANAGEMENT AND OPERATING CONTRACT NO. DE-AC06-76RL01830, W(C)-90-012

The Department of Energy (DOE) considers its Government-owned, Contractor-operated (GOCO) laboratories, such as Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) national resources capable of providing significant contribution to the development of new products and processes, creation of jobs, enhancement of the skill level of the U.S. labor force, and improved U.S. competitiveness.

Congress, recognizing this unique aspect of GOCO laboratories, enacted the National Competitiveness Technology Transfer Act of 1989, hereinafter "Act" (Public Law 101-189). The purpose of the Act was to promote technology transfer between GOCOs and the private sector in the United States and to enhance collaboration between universities, the private sector, and GOCOs in order to foster the development of technologies in areas of significant economic potential. It was noted in the Conference Report that it was the intent of the Conferees that the Laboratory Manager of GOCOs be granted authority to facilitate technology transfer to the fullest extent authorized by law.

The Act amended the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-480), as amended, in a number of major aspects. First, the Act extended, upon agency approval, to GOCOs the authority earlier specified in section 12 of Stevenson-Wydler for Government-operated Federal Laboratories (GOGOs) to enter into Cooperative Research and Development Agreements (CRADAs) with one or more non-Federal parties (hereinafter participants).

Second, the Act required that the GOCOs' operating contracts be modified, to the extent not already included, to establish technology transfer as a mission for the laboratories. The term "Laboratory" as set forth in the Act includes for purposes of this Class Waiver any of the facilities that Battelle manages and operates under DOE prime Contract No. DE-AC06-76RL01830 (hereinafter the 1830 contract).

The Act defines a CRADA as:

Any agreement between one or more Federal Laboratories and one or more non-Federal parties under which the Government, through its laboratories, provides personnel, services, facilities, equipment, or other resources with or without reimbursement (but not funds to non-Federal parties) and the non-Federal parties provide funds, personnel, services, facilities, equipment, or other resources toward the conduct of specified research or development efforts which are consistent with the missions of the laboratory.

Excluded from this type of agreement are procurement contracts, grants and cooperative agreements as these terms are used in sections 6303, 6304, 6305 of Title 31.

The scope of this Class Waiver is directed to an advance waiver to the participant, of inventions made by employees of, or persons acting on behalf of a participant under the class of CRADAs entered into by participant with Battelle under the Prime Contract pursuant to the Act. Since CRADAs do not fall within the definition of "funding agreements" of Public Law 96-517, the patent policy set forth therein as applicable to small businesses and non-profit organizations does not apply. Hence, inventions made by any small business, non-profit organization or for-profit large business participants to the CRADA are intended to be covered by the Class Waiver.

With respect to this advance Class Waiver, it is expected that Battelle will negotiate agreements that provide for substantial cost sharing of the joint research effort by the participants, thereby achieving a leveraging of the Government-funded portion of the joint work. In so doing, this advance Class Waiver is seen to be an extension of existing DOE patent waiver policy which recognizes that substantial cost sharing by participants is an indication of commitment by the participants to advance the technology and effect commercial utilization. Additionally, the work being performed under CRADAs will typically be driven by participants' needs and will most likely be of near term commercial value; hence, it is believed that the granting of the advance Class Waiver of inventions made by participants under CRADAs will also make the benefits of the CRADA research widely available to the public in the shortest practicable time and promote the commercial utilization of the waived inventions.

Further, it is believed that technology transfer will be enhanced by both Battelle and the CRADA participant, as appropriate, being able to offer for commercialization purposes subject inventions to which they hold title with other related inventions and intellectual property they may hold.

Implementation of the advance Class Waiver is to be by execution of the DOE approved CRADA. Participants' cost of filing and maintaining any patent application(s) or patent(s) on their inventions will be at private expense.

It is expected that in negotiating the commercialization rights to the waived inventions (including background inventions owned by the parties, if any), Battelle and the participant will be guided by the respective equities of the parties, the small business status of the participant, if applicable, and the overall objective of attempting to secure the most expeditious commercialization route for moving the technology from the research stage to the marketplace. Hence, it is recognized that the parties may conclude, in order to achieve the above objectives, that either Battelle or the participant should hold title to all of the inventions made under the CRADA. When this occurs from good faith negotiation of the commercialization rights, any disposition of rights set forth in the CRADA of subject inventions other than each party owning its own inventions will not be a basis for disapproval of the submitted CRADA by DOE.

The scope of the Class Waiver does not include inventions which:  
(1) fall within DOE's weapons programs which inventions principally relate to weapons or inherently disclose or suggest a weapons application where such disclosure or suggestion would be detrimental to national security; naval nuclear propulsion program; uranium enrichment (including isotope separation) program; storage and disposal of civilian high level nuclear waste or spent nuclear fuels; (2) relate to subject matter that is classified or sensitive under Section 148 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; (3) are covered by the exceptional circumstance determination made by DOE prior to the time of execution of the CRADA; or (4) come within the ambit of international agreements or treaties in existence at the time of execution of the CRADA.

This waiver of the Government's rights in inventions as set forth herein is subject to the Government's retention of: (1) a non-exclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or to have practiced for or on behalf of the United States the waived invention, and (2) march-in rights (comparable to those set out in 35 USC 203).

The grant of this Class Waiver should not result in adverse effects on competition or market concentration. Waived inventions will be subject to a royalty-free license to the Government and DOE has the right to require periodic reports on the utilization or the efforts at obtaining utilization that are being made for the waived inventions. If a participant is not making reasonable efforts to utilize a waived invention, DOE can exercise its march-in right and require licensing of the invention.


Accordingly, in view of the statutory objectives to be obtained and the factors to be considered under DOE's statutory waiver policy, the objectives of Public Law 101-189, and Executive Order 12591, all of which have been considered, it is recommended that this Class Waiver as set forth above will best serve the interest of the United States and the general public. It is therefore recommended that the waiver be granted.

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date 28 SEP 1990

Based on the foregoing Statement of Considerations, it is determined that the interests of the United States and the general public will best be served by waiver of United States and foreign patent rights as set forth herein and, therefore, the waiver is granted. This waiver shall not affect any waiver previously granted.

CONCURRENCE:

  
James F. Decker, Acting Director  
Office of Energy Research

Date 10/17/90

APPROVAL:

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date 11/13/90

\_\_\_\_\_  
Leo P. Duffy, Director  
Office of Environmental Restoration  
and Waste Management  
Date \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Linda G. Stuntz, Deputy Undersecretary  
for Policy, Planning and Analysis  
Date \_\_\_\_\_


Based on the foregoing Statement of Considerations, it is determined that the interests of the United States and the general public will best be served by waiver of United States and foreign patent rights as set forth herein and, therefore, the waiver is granted. This waiver shall not affect any waiver previously granted.

CONCURRENCE:

APPROVAL:

\_\_\_\_\_  
James F. Decker, Acting Director  
Office of Energy Research

Date \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Leo P. Duffy, Director  
Office of Environmental Restoration  
and Waste Management  
Date 10/31/90

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Linda G. Stuntz, Deputy Undersecretary  
for Policy, Planning and Analysis

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Based on the foregoing Statement of Considerations, it is determined that the interests of the United States and the general public will best be served by waiver of United States and foreign patent rights as set forth herein and, therefore, the waiver is granted. This waiver shall not affect any waiver previously granted.

CONCURRENCE:

APPROVAL:

\_\_\_\_\_  
James F. Decker, Acting Director  
Office of Energy Research

Date \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Leo P. Duffy, Director  
Office of Environmental Restoration  
and Waste Management

Date \_\_\_\_\_

*Linda G. Stuntz*

*CP*  
*PK*  
Linda G. Stuntz, Deputy Undersecretary  
for Policy, Planning and Analysis

Date October 15, 1990